INTRODUCTION

Interferon beta (IFN-β) has been shown to be a safe and effective treatment for relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) and is widely used as a first-line treatment. IFN-β balances the expression of pro- and anti-inflammatory agents in the brain, and reduces the number of inflammatory cells that cross the blood brain barrier. Through these mechanisms IFN-β achieves its antiproliferative, anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects [1, 2]. However, IFN-β preparations, like other protein-based biopharmaceuticals produced by recombinant gene technologies are potentially immunogenic. Long-term use of IFN-β can lead to an immune response directed against the drug that is mainly based on breaking B-cell tolerance [3]. It is clear that IFN-β preparations are associated with the development of two different classes of antibodies against IFN-β - binding (BAbs) and neutralizing antibodies (NAbs). BAbs bind to the IFN-β molecule and may or may not interfere with its functions. NAbs interfere with functions of the IFN-β molecule in vitro, most likely by preventing binding of IFN-β to the IFN receptor on cells used in the assay and, thereby, inhibiting the functional activation of the receptor. BAbs can be demonstrated in the majority of patients treated with an IFN-β preparation, but only a smaller proportion of patients develop NAbs [3, 4].

Clinical implications of neutralizing antibodies. There is emerging agreement that persistent, high-titer NAbs reduce or eliminate the biological activity of IFN-β therapies for MS and are associated with reduced efficacy. The majority of studies longer than 2 years in duration reported a higher attack rate in NAb-positive compared to NAb-negative patients [5–7]. Standard therapy with INF-β reduces relapse rates in NAb-positive patients by about 30–35%, and in NAb-negative the annual relapse rate decreases up to 50% [8, 9]. Also, it has been shown in numerous trials that patients who become antibody positive have increased disease activity, measured on the brain MRI as gadolinium positive lesions or new T2-lesions, or MRI disease severity measured as T2-lesion load [10–12]. None of the pivotal trials in RRMS showed an effect of
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NAb on disease progression and neither did any of the trials in secondary progressive MS [13–16]. However, all the trials were underpowered to show an effect of NAb because IFN-β by itself had no or only marginal effect on disease progression. Also the Danish study showed only a trend toward more progression in NAb-positive patients [9].

**IFN-β immunogenicity.** The immunogenicity of IFN-β is dependent on a number of factors. These are product, treatment and patient related factors. It is generally agreed that the frequency and the amount of NAb is significantly less in patients treated with IFN-β-1a compared to patients treated with IFN-β-1b [17–19] and it seems clear that IFN-β-1a administered IM is less immunogenic than IFN-β-1a administered SC [18, 20, 21].

**Occurrence and disappearance of anti-IFN-β antibodies.** Low concentrations of NAb can be detected in vitro with sensitive assay after six months, whereas clinically relevant NAb usually develop between 9 and 18 months after start of IFN-β therapy, and if NAb have not developed by this time they are unlikely to develop in the future [4]. Studies of the natural history of NAb in IFN-β treated patients suggest that the NAb-positive state is often transient. Additionally, some patients revert from NAb-positive to NAb-negative state over time. Reversion is more likely when NAb titer is less than 100–200 NU/ml, whereas patients with titers above 500 NU/ml rarely become NAb-negative within a time span of 2–3 years. Traditionally, a titer of 20 NU/ml measured with the Kawade titration method (TRU/ml = ten times reduction units per ml), has been used as cut-off for NAb positivity [4, 22, 23].

Data from NAb-positive patients who discontinued therapy indicate that NAb may persist for long periods after cessation of treatment [24, 25]. The longest post-treatment follow-up during which a patient maintained NAb positivity was 59 months. Only few patients reverted to the NAb negative status and in some patients the NAb titer even increased after cessation of IFN-β therapy [24]. The reason for the maintenance of high NAb titers after cessation of IFN-β therapy is mainly unknown. One explanation could be long-lived plasma cells. Another possible explanation is that recombinant IFN-β cross-react with wild-type IFN-β, and it is therefore conceivable that NAb-producing B-lymphocytes are activated by intermittently produced natural IFN-β, e.g., during viral infections [4].

**Measurements of neutralizing antibodies.** A number of methods have been developed for the measurement of NAb and all are based on measuring the in vivo responses of IFN-β-sensitive human cell lines to the application of IFN-β. Binding of IFN-β to the IFN receptor complex on the cells leads to a change in the expression levels of many genes, including those which have antiviral, antiproliferative and immunological properties. In the presence of NAb these changes are inhibited.

*The cytopathic effect assay.* The cytopathic effect (CPE) assay is considered the gold standard method for measuring NAb. Virus susceptible cells are incubated with IFN-β and patient serum for 12–24 h and virus added. After a further 24 h, viable cells (protected by IFN-β-stimulated anti-viral factors) are quantified. The NAb titer is calculated using the Kawade method. The assay is prone to variation, very time-consuming and not specific (other factors within the serum may also have anti-viral properties) [4, 26].

**Assays based on the quantification of MxA.** One of the major proteins induced by IFN-β is MxA. The CPE assay has been modified by measuring the amount of MxA protein or MxA mRNA produced following stimulation with IFN-β, in the presence of patient serum, rather than cell viability following treatment with a virus. Stimulation with IFN-β leads to a dose-dependent increase in MxA protein and MxA mRNA [27]. Quantification of the MxA protein has been performed by ELISA, chemiluminescence and by fluorescence activated cell sorting. Measuring MxA production has the advantage of being faster; however, assay variability remains quite high. Extraction of MxA mRNA followed by reverse transcription and quantification by real-time PCR, using glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as a housekeeping gene, has been performed to measure the amount of MxA mRNA produced [26, 28]. The assay is faster than the CPE assay and is much more reliable and reproducible than both the CPE assay and MxA protein assay, but its relatively high cost may limit its adoption as a routine assay in clinical laboratories [26].

**Assays based on the in vivo induction of MxA.** Treatment of patients with IFN-β, as described above, leads to the production of the biomarker MxA and, in the presence of NAb, this response is lost [29–31]. Maximal MxA mRNA concentrations are achieved about 12 h after the dose of IFN-β is given. To assess IFN-β activity, blood samples are collected 12 h post-IFN-β injection into tubes designed to preserve mRNA. The mRNA is extracted and reverse transcription is used to produce cDNA. This is quantified by real-time PCR, and MxA expression values normalized by reference to GAPDH. Results are reliable and reproducible; however, costs are relatively high [26].

*An assay utilizing a cell line containing a luciferase reporter gene.* The assay uses a genetically engineered human fibrosarcoma HT 1080 cell line (clone HL116) [32, 33]. The HT1080 cell line was stably transfected with the firefly luciferase gene under transcriptional control of the IFN-stimulated response element. Binding of IFN-β to its receptor leads to activation of the reporter and luciferase is expressed. Addition of the luciferase substrate luciferin to cell lysates produces chemiluminescence. In the assay, patient serum is pre-incubated with IFN-β for 1 h, added to HL116 cells and further incubated for 6 h. Luciferin substrate is added and the plate read in a luminescence plate reader. In the presence of NAb, luciferase production is inhibited, and the titer quantified using the Kawade method. The assay is reliable, reproducible and relatively simple and can be completed in a day [26].

**Effect of neutralizing antibodies on the bioactivity of IFN-β.** As it was described earlier it is clear that in
NAb-positive patients, especially those with high titers, the MxA response decreases to baseline levels indicating that NAb's abrogate the bioactivity of IFN-β [34]. Thus, a good (negative) correlation between MxA mRNA expression/induction and NAb titers was found in series of studies [30, 35–37]. Using an in vitro MxA induction assay to measure NAb's and mRNA MxA assessment of IFN-β bioactivity, Sominanda et al. found that patients with NAb's titers of up to 150 TRU/ml still had retained IFN-β bioactivity, whereas profoundly reduced levels of IFN-β bioactivity were found in patients with NAb's of 150–600 TRU/ml, and titers above 600 TRU/ml were associated with loss of IFN-β bioactivity [38].

Treatments and attempts to prevent or reduce the neutralizing antibodies. When NAb's have developed it is difficult to make patients revert to a NAb-negative state. Data suggested that increasing the dosage of IFN-β 1b decreased or reverted the NAb titer to negative in NAb-positive patients in accordance with the hypothesis that higher frequent dosage might lead to suppression of NAb's [39].

Pulse methylprednisolone therapy may reduce the risk of developing NAb's (but possibly not high-titer NAb's of clinical importance) 12 months after start of IFN-β therapy if given together with IFN-β from the beginning of the treatment [40, 41]. However current evidence suggests that treatment with monthly cycles of high-dose methylprednisolone does not restore IFN-β biologic response and reduce NAb's levels in established NAb-positive MS patients, who discontinue IFN-β therapy [41–43] and neither did a combination of azathioprine and monthly methylprednisolone cycles in established NAb-positive MS patients [44].

Therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE), complication rates. TPE, also known as plasmapheresis, is a procedure that involves separating the blood, exchanging the plasma (typically with donor plasma or albumin solution), and returning the other components, primarily red blood cells, to the patient. TPE is a successful method for treating of many autoimmune diseases, because it removes the circulating antibodies that are thought to be active in these diseases [45].

TPE is an effective, relatively safe and well tolerated treatment for many neurological autoimmune diseases. The frequency of complications associated with TPE reported in the literature is variable and is dependent upon what is or is not considered a reaction or an expected physiologic response [45, 46]. In general, most large series of TPE report low total complication rates (5–12%) [46–49]. The adverse reactions are substantially more common with fresh frozen plasma than with albumin replacement. The most common symptoms include: 1) perioral and digital paresthesias due to hypocalcemia, 2) hypotension, muscle cramps and headaches caused by hypovolemia, and 3) urticaria and rashes related to anaphylactoid reactions to plasma. The reported overall incidence of these common symptoms is less than 10%. More serious complications such as severe anaphylactoid reactions or transfusion-related acute lung injury can follow the administration of FFP and other plasma-containing replacement fluid or can rarely occur in patients receiving treatment with ACE inhibitors receiving albumin as the replacement fluid. More serious complications have been reported in approximately 1.5% of TPE procedures [45, 50].

The effect of TPE on the IFN-β bioavailability. Plasmapheresis, as far as intravenous immunoglobulins, might be considered as possible procedures to diminish NAb's generation and to restore the bioavailability of IFN-β. However, they do not affect memory plasma cells. The treatment may be useful in eliminating circulating NAb's, but it should not be expected to impede the production of NAb's once it has been triggered [4, 51]. However it is still unknown whether TPE may promote recovery of IFN-β bioavailability.

Three questions arise regarding NAb's against IFN-β and abolished IFN-β bioavailability when TPE is used in MS patients treated with IFN-β. Firstly, can the TPE reduce the titer of NAb's against IFN-β and restore the ability of IFN-β to induce the MxA mRNA expression in general? Secondly, if the TPE restore the bioavailability of IFN-β, is it possible to sustain recovered IFN-β bioavailability by maintenance plasmapheresis? And thirdly, how quickly do the markers of IFN-β bioavailability get back to the baseline levels after the TPE use? To answer these questions, the effect of TPE on the ability of IFN-β to induce the MxA mRNA was evaluated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design. An open-label, single-center pilot study was initiated to assess the feasibility and efficacy of TPE in improving the markers of IFN-β bioavailability and to evaluate the safety and tolerability of TPE in relapsing remitting (RR) MS patients when donor plasma is used for plasma replacement. 6 patients were included in the study. The study was ended earlier than planned initially because the results were so evident that it was considered unethical to continue the pilot study.

Participants. The study was performed at the Neurology and Neurosurgery Clinic, Faculty of Medicine at Vilnius University Hospital during the period 2012–2013. The study protocol was approved by the Lithuanian Bioethics Committee. All participants of the study had to sign an informed consent before the start of the study procedures. The participants of the study were MS patients treated in Vilnius University Hospital Santariskiui Clinics. The inclusion criteria for all patients were: 1) Subjects aged 18–55 years, clinically definite MS, diagnosed according to the McDonald criteria (2010 Revisions), RR MS course and EDSS score of 0.0 up to and including 5.5, 2) treatment with high-doses of IFN-β; all participants were treated with IFN-β1b (Betaseron; Schering, Berlin, Germany) 250 µg every other day subcutaneously for more than 18 months, 3) no IFN-β bioactivity detected by means of in vivo MxA mRNA response 9–12 hours after IFN-β injection at screening. High BAbs titers were evaluated before MxA mRNA assay. All
patients included were clinically stable and steroids free at least three months preceding the enrolment. Key exclusion criteria included: 1) medical conditions or laboratory abnormalities that might be negatively influenced by plasma exchange sessions, for example, immune compromise, malignancy and so on, 2) severe allergic/anaphylactic reactions, in particular if a history of prior reaction to blood products existed, or any known drug hypersensitivity, 3) hypotension, and/or 4) limited vascular access.

**Treatment.** Eligible participants continued every other day IFN-β-1b (Betaferon) 250 μg subcutaneously during all study. Five of the six patients underwent four separate plasma exchange sessions of 2.0–2.5 plasma volumes and donor plasma was used for plasma replacement. Each TPE session took 2.5–3.0 h and the sessions occurred over a 5–8 day span. One patient after the first incomplete plasma exchange session (1100 ml plasma volume was replaced) was switched to the centrifugal plasmapheresis due to the adverse reactions to the donor plasma. The patient underwent six centrifugal plasmapheresis sessions of 310–380 ml volumes every day. Five patients (except one patient who underwent centrifugal plasmapheresis) after the induction plasma exchange session were transferred to the maintenance plasmapheresis: the patients underwent one plasma exchange procedure of 1.8–2.2 plasma volume per month in order to sustain the bioavailability of IFN-β. A total of three maintenance plasmapheresis were performed (Table 1). Premedication with clemastine IM and dexamethasone IV before TPE was given to avoid hypersensitivity reactions and calcium gluconate was infused IV during the TPE to avoid hypocalcemic symptoms.

**IFN-β bioactivity measurement.** Blood from MS patients was drawn into PAXgene Blood RNA Tube (PreAnalytiX GmbH, Hombrechtikon, Switzerland) before and 12 hours after injection of INF-β. Total RNA was extracted using Purlink FFPE Total RNA Isolaton Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Total RNA was subsequently reverse-transcribed to cDNA using RevertAid™ M-MULV reverse transcriptase and random hexamers (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania). Previously published sequences for MxA and GAPDH primers and probes were used in duplex qPCR reactions [52]. qPCR reactions were performed in a 20 μl reaction volume containing Maxima® Probe qPCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania), 300 nmol/l final concentration of each primer, 200 nmol/l of each probe and 2 μl cDNA solution. Uracil-DNA glycosylase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania) was added into each reaction mix (0-4 units) to prevent qPCR cross-contamination with PCR products. qPCR was performed on ROTORGENE 6000 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) using the following cycling conditions: 2 min at 50°C followed by 5 min at 95°C and 45 amplification cycles at 95°C for 20 s, 60°C for 15 s and 72°C for 20 s. Relative quantification of MxA expression was calculated by the ΔΔCq method. A pool of healthy donors peripheral blood cDNA was used as a calibrator in ΔΔCq calculations and was run in parallel with patient samples. This calibrator was assigned the normalization ratio 1. MS patients samples before and after INF-β injection were always analyzed in the same qPCR run. Two cut-off values for INF-β bioactivity were determined based on MxA expression results of 30 untreated MS patients as described [53]. If values from a patient samples reached both threshold levels the patient was called “a biological responder”. In case only one threshold was reached a patient was assigned to a group of “poor biological responder”. If none of the two thresholds were reached a patient was called “a biological non-responder”. MxA mRNA expression cut-off of <0.586 before INF-β injection and MxA mRNA expression cut-off of <3.84 after INF-β injection were considered as negative.

**Table 1. Assessment scheme for induction and maintenance TPE.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day/Month</th>
<th>Screening</th>
<th>Induction TPE</th>
<th>Maintenance TPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1D 2D 3D 4D 5D 1M 2M 3M 4M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed consent</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In/Exclusion criteria</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical exam*</td>
<td>x x x x x x x x x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological exam**</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-β BAbs***</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x x x x x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab values****</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-β bioavailability*****</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x x x x x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPE</td>
<td>x x x x x x x x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S)AEs, TPE related</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>_whenever</td>
<td>(serious) adverse events occur</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ABP, HR, Resp, body temperature, ECG
**Neurological examination, EDSS
***BAbs measured with ELISA before MxA expression
****Hb, WBC, PLT, electrolytes, TP, coagulation (APTT, PA, fibrinogen)
*****MxA mRNA expression (before IFN-β injection and 12 hours after injection)
RESULTS

Six patients met the inclusion criteria and were included over a 4-month recruitment period. The mean age of these patients at the screening onset was 45 years (range, 35–54 years). Four patients were women (66.7%) and two patients – men (33.3%). The average treatment duration of immunomodulatory therapy prior the screening was 3.66 (±1.86) years. The mean disability score was 3.58 (±0.86) (on the Expanded Disability Status Scale, EDSS). The mean BAbs titer in all patients was 528 BTU (±354.6 BTU). All patients were clinically stable during all the study.

Five patients underwent four separate plasma exchange sessions and one patient after first incomplete session underwent six centrifugal plasmapheresis sessions due to the side effects to the plasma replacement. Five patients were transferred to the maintenance plasmapheresis: three sessions were performed in total. One patient after the induction plasmapheresis sessions underwent only two procedures of maintenance plasmapheresis – the third maintenance plasmapheresis was denied due to the abdominal pain arising. The pain appeared three weeks later after the last (second) maintenance plasmapheresis. The appendicitis was diagnosed and the patient was operated. After the surgery plasma exchange was not performed more and the blood samples were not drawn later as the patient refused to participate in the study.

All patients before TPE did not have an in vivo MxA response to IFN-β and all of them on the basis of MxA

| Table 2. MxA mRNA expression and MxA mRNA induction levels before and after induction and maintenance TPE in six MS patients. |
| MxA mRNA expression cut-off of <0.586 and MxA mRNA induction cut-off of <3.84 were considered negative. Adequate biological responders were defined as patients with both expression and induction levels higher than cut-off. Patients with both expression and induction levels lower than cut-off – as biological non-responders, and patients with either an increase of expression or induction cut-off were called suboptimal responders. |
| Patient 1 | Before TPE | After centrifugal TPE | 1 mth after centrifugal TPE | 3 mth after centrifugal TPE |
| MxA mRNA expression | 0.815 | 1.2311 | 0.76 | 0.39 |
| MxA mRNA induction | 0.98 | 5.55 | 1.87 | 1.4 |
| Patient 2 | Before TPE | After induction TPE | 1 mth after maintenance TPE | 2 mth after maintenance TPE | 3 mth after maintenance TPE | 4 mth after 3 maintenance TPE sessions |
| MxA mRNA expression | 1.59 | 4.94 | 5.01 | 4.19 | 2.36 | 2.16 |
| MxA mRNA induction | 1.43 | 4.64 | 5.37 | 3.64 | 1.77 | 1.69 |
| Patient 3 | Before TPE | After induction TPE | 1 mth after maintenance TPE | 2 mth after maintenance TPE | 3 mth after maintenance TPE | 4 mth after 3 maintenance TPE sessions |
| MxA mRNA expression | 2.85 | 3.29 | 6.1 | 3.69 | 2.77 | 1.82 |
| MxA mRNA induction | 1.69 | 7.38 | 1.93 | 2.9 | 1.77 | 1.54 |
| Patient 4 | Before TPE | After induction TPE | 1 mth after maintenance TPE | 2 mth after maintenance TPE | 3 mth after maintenance TPE | 4 mth after maintenance TPE sessions |
| MxA mRNA expression | 3.08 | 6.4 | 2.41 | 4.4 | 1.43 | 2.23 |
| MxA mRNA induction | 2.66 | 19.63 | 10.74 | 2.86 | 2.16 | 4.64 |
| Patient 5 | Before TPE | After induction TPE | 1 mth after maintenance TPE | 2 mth after maintenance TPE |
| MxA mRNA expression | 3.24 | 4.77 | 2.51 | 0.87 |
| MxA mRNA induction | 2.03 | 3.05 | 3.18 | 1.35 |
| Patient 6 | Before TPE | After induction TPE | 1 mth after maintenance TPE | 2 mth after maintenance TPE | 3 mth after maintenance TPE | 4 mth after maintenance TPE sessions |
| MxA mRNA expression | 1.83 | 2.16 | 1.3 | 1.12 | 0.93 | 1.48 |
| MxA induction | 3.37 | 2.19 | 4.53 | 4.22 | 2.32 | 3.41 |

MxA – myxovirus resistance protein A; mRNA – messenger RNA; TPE – therapeutic plasma exchange.
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mRNA expression results were recognized "as poor biological responders". A sharp increase of MxA mRNA expression and induction was found in four patients after induction plasmapheresis (in one case after centrifugal plasmapheresis): the patients regained an in vivo MxA response to IFN-β therapy and became "biological responders". In two patients an increase of MxA mRNA expression or induction was found but the values persisted below the lower cut-off and the patients remained as "poor biological responders". The effect of maintenance plasmapheresis was very transient: MxA mRNA expression values reverted to the baseline levels after one or two months (Table 2, Figure).

**Safety and tolerability of the TPE.** One of the six patients after the first incomplete plasma exchange session was switched to the centrifugal plasmapheresis (MxA mRNA expression results after centrifugal plasmapheresis were done as scheduled) due to the excessive itchy rashes on the trunk, neck and upper limbs (without hypotension), which persisted after the additional multiple dexamethasone and clemastine doses. One patient during the first and the second session of induction plasmapheresis had urticaria on the face and neck. The skin rash had regressed completely after the additional dose of dexamethasone and clemastine and the sessions were completed without any other adverse events. All other patients tolerated plasma exchange well when donor plasma was used for plasma replacement. In this trial serious adverse events were not observed during and after TPE sessions.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Most RRMS patients treated with IFN-β preparations do not develop persistent and high-titer NABs that have been associated with reduced measures of radiographic and clinical efficacy. For those that do, however, NABs represent a significant clinical problem, especially if other therapies have already been used, are not tolerated, or are not available. The available evidence for prevention and treatment of NABs in IFN-β treated RRMS patients still is scant and methodologically weak. Several studies proved that treatment with monthly cycles of high-dose methylprednisolone did not restore IFN-β biologic response and did not
reduce NABs levels in established NAB-positive MS patients, as well as cyclic methylprednisolone given together with IFN-β from the beginning of the treatment [41, 43]. Even a combination of azathioprine and monthly methylprednisolone cycles has little or no effect on IFN-β bioactivity in NAB-positive patients with MS [44].

As it is well known, TPE is effectively and widely used to remove serum proteins from the circulation, so we decided in the present study to make the induction and maintenance TPE in order to restore and then sustain the recovered bioavailability of IFN-β. As far as we know, such attempts of reducing NABs and/or restoring the bioavailability of IFN-β has not been described previously. All the patients in this study were treated with IFN-β for more than 18 months and did not have an in vivo MxA response to IFN-β. We consider the in vivo response to IFN-β to be a more accurate estimate of IFN-β bioavailability in the individual patient, as ex vivo by CPE detected NABs may have different and sometimes low affinities, and not always have neutralizing activity in vivo, and as the cut-off for NABs positivity is set somewhat arbitrarily [42]. Moreover, several studies have shown that whereas high titers of NABs invariably are associated with decreased IFN-β bioactivity, low NABs titers are correlated poorly with expression IFN-β induced genes or gene products [30, 54, 55]. The present data has supported the hypothesis that induction TPE may promote the recovery of the in vivo biological response to IFN-β, as two categories of patients after induction plasmapheresis were identified: first category participants (total – four patients) became “biological responders” and two patients persisted as “poor biological responders”. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that the bioactivity of IFN-β has not been restored in two patients as they were older (54 and 49 years old) and due to the long duration of treatment (6 and 5 years respectively). They were the oldest of all other patients and occurred with the longest treatment duration: the mean age of all other patients was 41.8 years and the treatment duration was 2.75 years. Unfortunately, the effect of maintenance plasmapheresis was transient: the biological activity of IFN-β has been maintained from one to two months on average. Therefore, this method of treatment cannot be applied for continuous diminishing of NAB titers and sustaining of IFN-β bioavailability.

Of course, it is useful to keep in mind that the use of TPE in chronic diseases and conditions is more controversial than in acute self-limited diseases due to the phenomenon of rebound antibody production and because it does not address underlying pathology. Although TPE can rapidly reduce levels of serum autoantibodies, a feedback mechanism in chronic diseases, and maybe in this context, may lead to a rebound overproduction of the same antibodies.

In conclusion the results of the previous and the present study demonstrate that an optimal methodology to restore or improve the markers of IFN-β bioactivity in abolished IFN-β ability or to prevent the development of NABs in IFN-β treated RRMS patients is not yet available. Consequently, to tailor the best treatment for MS patients and to prevent the development of NABs, the neurologist must carefully consider the results of clinical trials, disentangle the peculiar individual clinical and prognostic characteristics of each patient, susceptibility to NABs and clinical effects of therapy.
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